# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:	)
Emmanouil Domazakis	) ) 2
Serial No. 10/557,813	) ·
Corresponding to International	) RECEIVED
Application No. PCT/GR/2004/000034	3 AUG 2000
International Filing Date: 18 June 2004	)
Priority Date: 14 October 2003	) Legal Staff International Division
METHOD OF PRODUCTION OF	)
CROISSANT TYPE PASTRY PRODUCTS	$\mathbf{S})$
WITH CHARCUTERIE AND CRÈME	)
CHEESE FILLING, AND WITH	)
INCORPORATION OF OLIVE OIL	)
INTO THE DOUGH	) Attorney Docket: CFAV-6

## RESPONSE TO DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

## **MAILED JULY 17, 2006**

Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents Office of PCT Legal Administration P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a) mailed July 17, 2006, Applicant hereby submits a Petition for Revival of an International Application for Patent Designating the U.S. Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(a).

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 29, 2006.		
Clifford W. Browning		
Name of Registered Representative		
Cuestinow Min		
Signature		
July 25, 2006		
Date of Signature		

Applicant also attaches herewith a copy of the Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a) mailed July 17, 2006 and a Credit Card Payment Form authorizing the payment of the \$750.00 small entity fee therefore. No extensions of time are believed to be necessary, but if any are deemed to be due, please charge the fees therefore to Deposit Account 12-2424.

Respectfully submitted:

Clifford W Browning

Reg. No. 32,201 Krieg DeVault LLP

One Indiana Square, Suite 2800 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Telephone: (317) 636-4341

Facsimile: (317) 636-1507

KD\_IM-719885\_1.DOC

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)	Docket Number (Optional) CFAV-6		
	First Named Inventor: Emmanouil Domazakis			
	International (PCT) Application No.: PCT/GR2004/00034 U.S. Application N (if known)	o.: 10/577,813		
l	Filed: April 28, 2006			
	Title: METHOD OF PRODUCTION OF CROISSANT TYPE PASTRY PRODUCTS WITH CHARCUTERIE AND CREME CHEESE FILING, AND WITH INCORPORATION OF OLIVINTO THE DOUGH	/E OIL _		
	Attention: PCT Legal Staff Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			
	The above-identified application became abandoned as to the United States because the fees and documents required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c) were not filed prior to the expiration of the time set in 37 CFR 1.495(b) or (c) as applicable. The date of abandonment is the day after the date on which the 35 U.S.C. 371(c) requirements were due. See 37 CFR 1.495(h).			
	APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION			
	NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:  (1) Petition fee  (2) Proper reply  (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee which is required for all international filing date before June 8, 1995; and  (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.	ational applications		
	1. Petition fee  Small entity - fee \$ 750.00 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity state.  See 37 CFR 1.27.	us.		
3005	Other than small entity - fee \$(37 CFR 1.17(m)) #KAYPAGH 00000107 10557813			
2453	2. Proper reply 750.00 0P			
	A. The proper reply (the missing 35 U.S.C. 371(c) requirement(s)) in the form of   Transmittal Letter to the United States Elected Office (identify type of reply):  as mailed on April 28, 2006	·		
L	[Page 1 of 2]			

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS

TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64/PCT (10-05)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0021
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee				
Since this international application has an international filing date on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.				
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).				
<ol> <li>Statement. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.</li> </ol>				
Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submay contribute to identity theft. Personal information card numbers (other than a check or credit car required by the USPTO to support a petition or submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitions the public after publication of the application (made in the application) or issuance of a pate available to the public if the application is referenced.	mation such as social security number authorization form PTO-2038 subman application. If this type of personal should consider redacting such perser/applicant is advised that the record funless a non-publication request in the furthermore, the record from an renced in a published application or	ers, bank account numbers, or credit nitted for payment purposes) is never information is included in documents and information from the documents of a patent application is available to compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is abandoned application may also be an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14).		
lesseried many		July 25, 2006		
Signature		Date		
Clifford W. Browning		32,201		
Typed or Printed Name		Registration Number, if applicable		
Krieg DeVault LLP		(317) 636-4341		
Address		Telephone Number		
One Indiana Square, Suite 2800, In	dianapolis, Indiana 46204			
	Response to Decision on Petition Mailed July 17, 2006; a copy of Jnder 37 CFR 1.137(a) maile	the Decision on Petition		



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# 17 JUL 2006.

RECEIVED

JUL 19 2006

KRIEG DEVAULT LLP

KRIEG DEVAULT LLP ONE INDIANA SQUARE SUITE 2800 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204-2079

In re Application of

EMMANOUIL DOMAZAKIS

Application No.: 10/557,813

PCT No.: PCT/GR04/00034 Int. Filing Date: 18 June 2004 Priority Date: 14 October 2003

Priority Date: 14 October 2003 Attorney Docket No.: CFAV-6

For: METHOD OF PRODUCTION OF

CROISSANT TYPE PASTRY PRODUCTS WITH:

CHARCUTERIE AND CREME CHEESE.....

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

This is a decision on applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on 28 April 2006.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On 18 June 2004, applicant filed international application No. PCT/GR04/00034 which claimed a priority date of 14 October 2003, and which designated the United States. The deadline for entry into the national stage in the U.S. expired 30 months from the priority date, 14 April 2006.

On 28 April 2006, applicant filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*,: the basic national fee for a small entity and a declaration of the sole inventor. Applicant filed the present petition requesting that the application be revived since the abandonment was unavoidable on the grounds that due to "the abrupt closing of undersigned counsel's law firm's office, the filing deadline for entry into the US National Stage of the present application did not get docketed by the undersigned counsel's present law firm until April 27, 2006." A statement of counsel accompanies the petition.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Under 37 CFR 1.137(a), a petition requesting that the application be revived on the grounds of unavoidable delay requires (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(l); (3) a showing to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unavoidable; and (4) a terminal disclaimer pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).

Regarding Item (1), the proper response was payment of the basic national fee. The fee of \$150 is sufficient for entry into the national stage. The petition fee of \$250 was paid, satisfying Item (2). As for Item (4), the terminal disclaimer is not required in this application since it was filed after 08 June 1995.

Concerning item (3), counsel states that on April 2, 2006, a violent storm severely damaged the office building in which the law firm was recently relocated. The building was

By: 9-17-2 00

Due: \_\_\_\_

immediately closed to all tenants and the floors on which the law firm are located remain closed at the time of filing this petition. Counsel moved his practice to his present law firm on March 1, 2006. Counsel states:

the file containing the papers necessary to enter the US National Stage of the present application was not transferred by the undersigned counsel's prior law firm to his present law firm until some time thereafter. That file was being processed by the undersigned counsel's present law firm as of April 2, 2006, when the violent storm hit Indianapolis and closed the law firm's offices, but the US National Stage filing deadline associated with that file had not yet been docketed as of April 2, 2006.

Counsel does not provided sufficient evidence to conclude that the abandonment of the international application was unavoidable. Counsel has not explained why the file had not been docketed as of April 2, 2006 nor does he explain how he was able to obtain the file on April 27, 2006 when the law firm offices were still inaccessible. Furthermore, counsel has not provided any supporting evidence or documentation to support the conclusion that the events described in the petition caused him to miss the filing deadline. The burden of proof to establish that the delay from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unavoidable rests on the applicant. MPEP §711.03(c) II F. Thus, the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) for unavoidable delay is dismissed without prejudice.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

As an alternative, applicant may request revival of the application via petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting that the application be revived on the grounds of unintentional delay must be filed promptly after the date on which the application became abandoned. Such a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) a proper reply; (2) the petition fee required by law, and (3) a statement that the "entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional", and (4) by a terminal disclaimer and fee in all applications filed before 08 June 1995 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c). Currently, the petition fee required by law is \$750.00 for a small entity.

The filing of a petition under the unintentional standard cannot be intentionally delayed and therefore should be filed promptly. A person seeking revival due to unintentional delay cannot make a statement that the delay was unintentional unless the entire delay, including the delay from the date it was discovered that the application was abandoned until the filing of the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b), was unintentional. A statement that the delay was unintentional is not appropriate if petitioner intentionally delayed the filing of a petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

This recommendation to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) should <u>not</u> be construed as an indication as to whether or not any such petition(s) will be favorably considered.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons above, the petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is <u>DISMISSED</u> without prejudice.

The application remains ABANDONED.

Any request for reconsideration of the merits of this petition must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a)." Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Cynthia M. Kratz Attorney Advisor PCT Legal Affairs

Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty Legal Administration

Telephone: (571) 272-3286 Facsimile: (571) 273-0459



JUL 3 1 2006

ATTORNEYS AT LAW KRIEG · DEVA

ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800 • INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2079 **fax** 317.636.1507 PHONE 317.636.4341

krieg@kdlegal.com

WEB SITE WWW.kriegdevault.com

Office of PCT Legal Administration Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop PCT PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450